UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,334	10/18/2001	Ashay A. Dani	042390.P12141	7280
Stephen M. De	7590 01/24/200 Klerk OKOLOFF, TAYLOR	EXAMINER AHMED, SHEEBA		
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026	1773		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY	/ MODE
L	NTHS	01/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

•						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		10/038,334	DANI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Sheeba Ahmed	1773			
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DESIGNATION OF THE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commit ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/3	31/06.				
2a)□		s action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13,15-28 and 30 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13, 15-28, and 30 is/are rejuction Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ected.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·		
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge		
Attachmen						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In	nformal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/038,334

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Amendments to claims 28 and 30 have been entered in the above-identified application. Claims 14 and 29 are cancelled. Claims 1-13, 15-28, and 30 are pending.

The indicated allowability of claims 1-13 and 15-27 is withdrawn in light of the new rejection presented below. Any inconvenience to the Applicants is regretted.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-13, 15-28, and 30 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15 of U.S.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,334

Art Unit: 1773

Patent No. 6,926,955. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

U.S. Patent No. 6,926,955 claims a thermal interface material, comprising: a phase change polymer; a solder material having a melting temperature approximately between 100 and 250.degree. C.; and a plurality of thermally conductive non-fusible particles, the solder material, wherein the fusible filler is a metal alloy in the range of approximately 10-90% by weight of the thermal interface material and the fusible filler is selected from the group consisting of In, InBi, InSn, BiSn, PbSn, SnAg, InPbAg, InAg, InSnBi, InGa SnBiZn, SnInAg, SnAgCu and InPb. The non-fusible particle filler claimed to be selected from the group consisting of glass fiber, graphite fibers, carbon fibers, boron nitride, aluminum oxides, zinc oxide, alum

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to replace the solder material claimed in U.S. Patent No. 6,926,955 with a solder particle having a melting temperature below 300°C.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13, 15-28, and 30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,334

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6am to 2pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sheeba Ahmed Art Unit 1773

January 20, 2007